| IN THE UNITED STA FOR THE WESTERN DI EASTERN | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| SHARON KIMBRELL ASHLEY, |) ACKON PAT |
| Individually and as the Next of Kin to | ,) |
| JIMMY KIMBRELL, Deceased, | , |
| , | ,) |
| Plaintiff, |) |
| |) |
| VS. | No. 05-1006-T/An |
| |) |
| THE STATE OF TENNESSEE d/b/a | |
| NORTHWEST CORRECTIONAL |) |
| CENTER and d/b/a NORTHWEST |) |
| CORRECTIONAL CENTER MEDICAL |) |
| CLINIC, and JOHN DOE, in his official |) |
| capacity as an Employee/Agent/Servant of |) |
| Northwest Correctional Center, |) |

ORDER DISMISSING JOHN DOE DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff Sharon Kimbrell Ashley, individually and as the Next of Kin of the decedent, Jimmy Kimbrell, filed this action on January 10, 2005 against the State of Tennessee d/b/a Northwest Correctional Center and d/b/a Northwest Correctional Center Medical Clinic. The complaint also named "John Doe 1-5" as defendants who "are Employees/Agents/Servants" of Northwest Correctional Center. (Compl. ¶ 4.) ¹ Plaintiff asserted constitutional claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and negligence claims under Tennessee law. The State of Tennessee filed a motion to dismiss on June 1, 2005.

| This | locument entered on the docket sheet in complian | ce |
|------|--------------------------------------------------|----|
| with | ule 58 and/or 79 (a) FRCP on | |

Defendants.

¹ While paragraph four of the complaint names five John Doe defendants, the caption of the complaint lists only one.

In the State's motion to dismiss, it argued that plaintiff's § 1983 claims were barred by the

Eleventh Amendment and that the Tennessee Claims Commission has exclusive jurisdiction over

the state law negligence claims. The plaintiff subsequently filed a response conceding that exclusive

jurisdiction over this case lies in the Tennessee Claims Commission. Accordingly, on July 8, 2005,

the Court issued an order granting the motion to dismiss.

The status of the John Doe defendants was not directly addressed in the State's motion to

dismiss. The only reference to individual state employees was in a footnote: "State employees

acting within the scope of their employment are entitled to absolute immunity. Tenn. Code Ann. § 9-

8-307(h)." (Mem. in Supp. of Mot. to Dism., at 6 n.2.) However, as the plaintiff has conceded that

exclusive jurisdiction over this action lies in the Tennessee Claims Commission, and as the

individual defendants have been neither identified nor served with process, the claims against the

John Doe defendants must also be dismissed.

Accordingly, all claims against defendants John Doe 1-5 are hereby DISMISSED. The Clerk

of Court is directed to prepare a judgment in accordance with this order and the order of July 8,

2005.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JAMES D. TODD

INDED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE

DATĚ



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 10 in case 1:05-CV-01006 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on July 22, 2005 to the parties listed.

Danese K. Banks COCHRAN CHERRY GIVENS SMITH & BOLTON One Commerce Square Ste. 2600 Memphis, TN 38103

Arthur Crownover OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, TN 37202--020

Honorable James Todd US DISTRICT COURT